

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/723,750	Applicant(s) BECKER-SZENDY ET AL.	
	Examiner Thanh-Ha Dang	Art Unit 2163	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Thanh-Ha Dang.

(3) _____

(2) Samuel A. Kassatly (RN 32,247).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6-12, 16, 19, 24-30, 31, 36-40, 41 and 42.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

T. Dang
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about the amendment to correct the lack of antecedent basis (claims 6-12, 24-30, 36-40) and claims (1, 16, 19, 31, 41, 42) with 101 rejection. After receiving the proposed amendment, the claims 19, 31, 41 and 42 still have 101 rejection because the claims still reflect software per se or functional descriptive material.